

Exhibit 22

1	STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA)	
2	<u>COUNTY OF CHARLESTON</u>)	COURT OF COMMON PLEAS 2020-CP-10-03946
3			
4	ANGELA M. HOOD, Individually)	
5	and as Personal Representative))	
6	of the Estate of MARY B.)	
7	MCBRAYER,)	
8)	
9	Plaintiff,)	
10)	
11	vs.)	TRANSCRIPT OF RECORD
12)	
13	ACME MARKETS, INC.,)	
14	individually, and as)	
15	successor-in-interest to)	
16	COMMUNITY CASH STORES, INC.)	
17)	
18	ALBERTSONS COMPANIES, INC.,)	
19	individually and as successor-)	
20	in-interest to COMMUNITY CASH)	
21	STORES, INC.)	
22)	
23	CYPRUS AMEX MINERALS COMPANY,)	
24	individually, d/b/a and as)	
25	successor-in-interest to)	
	SIERRA TALC COMPANY, UNITED)	
	SIERRA DIVISION OF CYPRUS)	
	MINES, CYPRUS INDUSTRIAL)	
	MINERALS COMPANY, AMERICAN)	
	TALC COMPANY, METROPOLITAN)	
	TALC COMPANY, INC., CHARLES)	
	MATHIEU INC., RESOURCE)	
	PROCESSORS, INC., and WINDSOR)	
	MINERALS, INC.)	
)	
	CYPRUS MINES CORPORATION,)	
	individually, d/b/a and as)	
	successor to SIERRA TALC)	
	COMPANY, AMOCO MINERALS CO.,)	
	CYPRUS GEORESEARCH CO., a)	
	wholly-owned subsidiary of)	
	CYPRUS MINES CORP, and)	
	successor to CHARLES)	
	MATHIEU INC.(d/b/a CHARLES)	
	MATHIEU & CO. and CHAS.)	
	MATHIEU INC.), AMERICAN TALC)	
	COMPANY INC., METROPOLITAN)	

1 TALC COMPANY INC., IMPERIAL)
2 PRODUCTS CO. INC., and)
3 RESOURCE PROCESSORS INC.)
4 FORD'S DRUGS AND MEDICAL, INC,)
5 f/k/a FORD'S DRUGS, INC., and)
6 d/b/a FORD'S DRUGS AND MEDICAL)
7 JOHNSON & JOHNSON)
8 JOHNSON & JOHNSON CONSUMER)
9 INC., f/k/a JOHNSON & JOHNSON)
10 CONSUMER COMPANIES, INC.)
11 SMITH DRUG STORE a/k/a SMITH'S)
12 DRUG NO. 1 & DME, a/k/a)
13 SMITH'S DRUGS)
14 SOUTHEASTERN GROCERS, INC.,)
15 individually, and as)
16 successor-in-interest to WINN-)
17 DIXIE STORES, INC.)
18 WINN-DIXIE STORES, INC. a)
19 subsidiary of SOUTHEASTERN)
20 GROCERS, INC.,)
21
22 Defendants.)
23

October 28, 2021
Columbia, South Carolina

B E F O R E:

THE HONORABLE JEAN H. TOAL, JUDGE.

A P P E A R A N C E S:

THEILE B. MCVEY, ESQ.
Attorney for the Plaintiff

LOUIS P. HERNS, ESQ.
ALLISON M. BROWN, ESQ.
RICHARD T. BERNARDO, ESQ.
Attorneys for the Defendant

DEBORAH M. McCURDY, RPR
Official Court Reporter

1 responsibility for manufacturing. And there is
2 this blur in distinction that is going on, and it
3 is throughout the whole argument. I will try and
4 unpackage it a little bit as I discuss this, but --

5 THE COURT: I tell you one thing, Mr.
6 Bernardo, if you think anybody in any of these
7 cases is going to allow the stay against liability
8 on behalf of Old Johnson & Johnson Consumer Inc. to
9 somehow affect the evidence that is going to be
10 received, whether that evidence was from Johnson &
11 Johnson, Old Johnson or New Johnson, that is a very
12 different kettle of fish, and I can tell you right
13 now, that is not going to happen in this case as
14 far as I'm concerned.

15 What they are talking about is underlying
16 evidence and whether that underlying evidence was
17 retained by Johnson & Johnson, the Defendant in
18 this case. And whether that evidence came from
19 McCrone, from Mr. Sanchez, whatever source, whether
20 it came from testers of the mines or -- not --
21 whether it came from Johnson & Johnson Consumer
22 Inc., because they happen to be the ones that are
23 going to -- that is not going to have anything to
24 do with the determinations that are made about
25 whether or not this Defendant is involved in